

*COURT OF APPEAL FOR ONTARIO*

IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS  
ARRANGEMENT ACT*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR  
ARRANGEMENT OF SINO-FOREST CORPORATION

Applicant

APPLICATION UNDER THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS  
ARRANGEMENT ACT*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

---

**FACTUM OF THE RESPONDENT,  
FTI CONSULTING CANADA INC.,  
in its capacity as Monitor**

---

February 22, 2013

**GOWLING LAFLEUR HENDERSON LLP**

Barristers and Solicitors  
1 First Canadian Place  
100 King Street West, Suite 1600  
Toronto, ON M5X 1G5

**Derrick Tay (LSUC No. 21152A)**

Tel: (416) 369-7330  
Fax: (416) 862-7661

**Clifton Prophet (LSUC No. 34845K)**

Tel: (416) 862-3509  
Fax: (416) 862-7661

**Jennifer Stam (LSUC No. 46735J)**

Tel: (416) 862-5697  
Fax: (416) 862-7661

Lawyers for FTI Consulting Canada Inc.,  
in its capacity as Monitor

COURT OF APPEAL OF ONTARIO

IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT*,  
R.S.C. 1985, c. c-36, AS AMENDED

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT  
OF SINO-FOREST CORPORATION

APPEALS SERVICE LIST

(as at January 22, 2013)

TO: <b>BENNETT JONES LLP</b> 3400 One First Canadian Place, P.O. Box 130 Toronto, Ontario M5X 1A4	AND <b>GOWLING LAFLEUR HENDERSON LLP</b> TO: 1 First Canadian Place 100 King Street West, Suite 1600 Toronto, Ontario M5X 1G5
Robert W. Staley Tel: 416.777.4857 Fax: 416.863.1716 Email: staleyr@bennettjones.com	Derrick Tay Tel: 416.369.7330 Fax: 416.862.7661 Email: derrick.tay@gowlings.com
Kevin Zych Tel: 416.777.5738 Email: zychk@bennettjones.com	Clifton Prophet Tel: 416.862.3509 Email: clifton.prophet@gowlings.com
Derek J. Bell Tel: 416.777.4638 Email: belld@bennettjones.com	Jennifer Stam Tel: 416.862.5697 Email: jennifer.stam@gowlings.com
Raj S. Sahni Tel: 416.777.4804 Email: sahnir@bennettjones.com	Ava Kim Tel: 416.862.3560 Email: ava.kim@gowlings.com
Jonathan Bell Tel: 416.777.6511 Email: bellj@bennettjones.com	Jason McMurtrie Tel: 416.862.5627 Email: jason.mcmurtrie@gowlings.com
Sean Zweig Tel: 416.777.6254 Email: zweigs@bennettjones.com	Lawyers for the Monitor
Lawyers for the Applicant, Sino-Forest Corporation	

AND **FTI CONSULTING CANADA INC.**

TO: T-D Waterhouse Tower  
79 Wellington Street West  
Toronto-Dominion Centre, Suite 2010,  
P.O. Box 104  
Toronto, Ontario M5K 1G8

Greg Watson  
Tel: 416.649.8100  
Fax: 416.649.8101  
Email: greg.watson@fticonsulting.com

Jodi Porepa  
Tel: 416.649.8070  
Email: Jodi.porepa@fticonsulting.com

Monitor

AND **BAKER MCKENZIE LLP**

TO: Brookfield Place  
2100-181 Bay Street  
Toronto, Ontario M5J 2T3

John Pirie  
Tel: 416.865.2325  
Fax: 416.863.6275  
Email: john.pirie@bakermckenzie.com

David Gadsden  
Tel: 416.865.6983  
Email: david.gadsden@bakermckenzie.com

Lawyers for Poyry (Beijing) Consulting  
Company Limited

AND **AFFLECK GREENE MCMURTY LLP**

TO: 365 Bay Street, Suite 200  
Toronto, Ontario M5H 2V1

Peter Greene  
Tel: 416.360.2800  
Fax: 416.360.8767  
Email: pgreene@agmlawyers.com

Kenneth Dekker  
Tel: 416.360.6902  
Fax: 416.360.5960  
Email: kdekker@agmlawyers.com

Michelle E. Booth  
Tel: 416.360.1175  
Fax: 416.360.5960  
Email: mbooth@agmlawyers.com

Lawyers for BDO

AND **TORYS LLP**

TO: 79 Wellington Street West  
Suite 3000, Box 270  
Toronto-Dominion Centre  
Toronto, Ontario M5K 1N2

John Fabello  
Tel: 416.865.8228  
Fax: 416.865.7380  
Email: jfabello@torys.com

David Bish  
Tel: 416.865.7353  
Email: dbish@torys.com

Andrew Gray  
Tel: 416.865.7630  
Email: agray@torys.com

Lawyers for the Underwriters named in Class  
Actions

AND **LENCZNER SLAGHT ROYCE SMITH**  
TO: **GRIFFIN LLP**  
Suite 2600, 130 Adelaide Street West  
Toronto, Ontario M5H 3P5

Peter H. Griffin  
Tel: 416.865.9500  
Fax: 416.865.3558  
Email: pgriffin@litigate.com

Peter J. Osborne  
Tel: 416.865.3094  
Fax: 416.865.3974  
Email: posborne@litigate.com

Linda L. Fuerst  
Tel: 416.865.3091  
Fax: 416.865.2869  
Email: lfuerst@litigate.com

Shara Roy  
Tel: 416.865.2942  
Fax: 416.865.3973  
Email: sroy@litigate.com

Lawyers for Ernst & Young LLP

AND **OSLER, HOSKIN & HARCOURT LLP**  
TO: 1 First Canadian Place  
100 King Street West  
Suite 6100, P.O. Box 50  
Toronto, Ontario M5X 1B8

Larry Lowenstein  
Tel: 416.862.6454  
Fax: 416.862.6666  
Email: llowenstein@osler.com

Edward Sellers  
Tel: 416.862.5959  
Email: esellers@osler.com

Geoffrey Grove  
Tel: (416) 862-4264  
Email: ggrove@osler.com

Lawyers for the Board of Directors of Sino-  
Forest Corporation

AND **GOODMANS LLP**  
TO: 333 Bay Street, Suite 3400  
Toronto, Ontario M5H 2S7

Benjamin Zarnett  
Tel: 416.597.4204  
Fax: 416.979.1234  
Email: bzarnett@goodmans.ca

Robert Chadwick  
Tel: 416.597.4285  
Email: rchadwick@goodmans.ca

Brendan O'Neill  
Tel: 416.979.2211  
Email: boneill@goodmans.ca

Caroline Descours  
Tel: 416.597.6275  
Email: cdescours@goodmans.ca

Lawyers for Ad Hoc Committee of Bondholders

AND **COHEN MILSTEIN SELLERS & TOLL PLC**  
TO: 1100 New York, Ave., N.W.  
West Tower, Suite 500  
Washington, D.C. 20005

Steven J. Toll  
Tel: 202.408.4600  
Fax: 202.408.4699  
Email: stoll@cohenmilstein.com

Matthew B. Kaplan  
Tel: 202.408.4600  
Email: mkaplan@cohenmilstein.com

Attorneys for the Plaintiff and the Proposed Class  
re New York action

AND **SISKINDS LLP**  
TO: 680 Waterloo Street  
P.O. Box 2520  
London, Ontario N6A 3V8

A. Dimitri Lascaris  
Tel: 519.660.7844  
Fax: 519.672.6065  
Email: dimitri.lascaris@siskinds.com

Charles M. Wright  
Tel: 519.660.7753  
Email: Charles.wright@siskinds.com

Lawyers for an Ad Hoc Committee of  
Purchasers of the Applicant's Securities,  
including the Representative Plaintiffs in the  
Ontario Class Action against the Applicant

AND **KOSKIE MINSKY LLP**  
TO: 20 Queen Street West, Suite 900  
Toronto, Ontario M5H 3R3

Kirk M. Baert  
Tel: 416.595.2117  
Fax: 416.204.2899  
Email: kbaert@kmlaw.ca

Jonathan Ptak  
Tel: 416.595.2149  
Fax: 416.204.2903  
Email: jptak@kmlaw.ca

Jonathan Bida  
Tel: 416.595.2072  
Fax: 416.204.2907  
Email: jbida@kmlaw.ca

Garth Myers  
Tel: 416.595.2102  
Fax: 416.977.3316  
Email: gmyers@kmlaw.ca

Lawyers for an Ad Hoc Committee of Purchasers  
of the Applicant's Securities, including the  
Representative Plaintiffs in the Ontario Class  
Action against the Applicant

AND **COHEN MILSTEIN SELLERS & TOLL  
PLC**  
TO: 88 Pine Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10005

Richard S. Speirs  
Tel: 212.838.7797  
Fax: 212.838.7745  
Email: rspeirs@cohenmilstein.com

Stefanie Ramirez  
Tel: 202.408.4600  
Email: sramirez@cohenmilstein.com

Attorneys for the Plaintiff and the Proposed  
Class re New York action

AND **WARDLE DALEY BERNSTEIN LLP**  
TO: 2104 - 401 Bay Street, P.O. Box 21  
Toronto Ontario M5H 2Y4

Peter Wardle  
Tel: 416.351.2771  
Fax: 416.351.9196  
Email: pwardle@wdblaw.ca

Simon Bieber  
Tel: 416.351.2781  
Email: sbieber@wdblaw.ca

Erin Pleet  
Tel: 416.351.2774  
Email: epleet@wdblaw.ca

Lawyers for David Horsley

AND **McCARTHY TETRAULT LLP**  
TO: Suite 2500, 1000 De La Gauchetiere St.  
West  
Montreal, Québec, H3B 0A2

Alain N. Tardif  
Tel: 514.397.4274  
Fax : 514.875.6246  
Email: atardif@mccarthy.ca

Mason Poplaw  
Tel: 514.397.4155  
Email: mpoplaw@mccarthy.ca

Céline Legendre  
Tel: 514.397.7848  
Email: clegendre@mccarthy.ca

Lawyers for Ernst & Young LLP

AND **MILLER THOMSON LLP**  
TO: Scotia Plaza, 40 King Street West  
Suite 5800  
Toronto, Ontario M5H 3S1

Emily Cole  
Tel: 416.595.8640  
Email: ecole@millerthomson.com

Joseph Marin  
Tel: 416.595.8579  
Email: jmarin@millerthomson.com

Lawyers for Allen Chan

AND **THORNTON GROUT FINNIGAN LLP**  
TO: Suite 3200, 100 Wellington Street West  
P. O. Box 329, Toronto-Dominion Centre  
Toronto, Ontario M5K 1K7

James H. Grout  
Tel: 416.304.0557  
Fax: 416.304.1313  
Email: [jgrout@tgf.ca](mailto:jgrout@tgf.ca)

Kyle Plunkett  
Tel: 416-304-7981  
Fax: 416.304.1313  
Email: [kplunkett@tgf.ca](mailto:kplunkett@tgf.ca)

Lawyers for the Ontario Securities Commission

AND **PALIARE ROLAND ROSENBERG  
ROTHSTEIN LLP**  
TO: 155 Wellington Street, 35<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Toronto, Ontario M5V 3H1

Ken Rosenberg  
Tel: 416.646.4304  
Fax: 416.646.4301  
Email: [ken.rosenberg@paliareroland.com](mailto:ken.rosenberg@paliareroland.com)

Massimo (Max) Starnino  
Tel: 416.646.7431  
Email: [max.starnino@paliareroland.com](mailto:max.starnino@paliareroland.com)

Lawyers for an Ad Hoc Committee of Purchasers  
of the Applicant's Securities, including the  
Representative Plaintiffs in the Ontario Class  
Action against the Applicant

AND **CLYDE & COMPANY**  
TO: 390 Bay Street, Suite 800  
Toronto, Ontario M5H 2Y2

Mary Margaret Fox  
Tel: 416.366.4555  
Fax: 416.366.6110  
Email: [marymargaret.fox@clydeco.ca](mailto:marymargaret.fox@clydeco.ca)

Paul Emerson  
Tel: 416.366.4555  
Email: [paul.emerson@clydeco.ca](mailto:paul.emerson@clydeco.ca)

Lawyers for ACE INA Insurance and  
Chubb Insurance Company of Canada

AND **FASKEN MARTINEAU LLP**  
TO: 333 Bay Street, Suite 2400,  
Bay-Adelaide Centre, Box 20  
Toronto, Ontario M5H 2T6

Stuart Brotman  
Tel: 416.865.5419  
Fax: 416.364.7813  
Email: [sbrotman@fasken.com](mailto:sbrotman@fasken.com)

Conor O'Neill  
Tel: 416 865 4517  
Email: [coneill@fasken.com](mailto:coneill@fasken.com)

Canadian Lawyers for the Convertible Note  
Indenture Trustee (The Bank of New York  
Mellon)

AND **DAVIS LLP**  
TO: 1 First Canadian Place, Suite 6000  
PO Box 367  
100 King Street West  
Toronto, Ontario M5X 1E2

Susan E. Friedman  
Tel: 416.365.3503  
Fax: 416.777.7415  
Email: [sfriedman@davis.ca](mailto:sfriedman@davis.ca)

Bruce Darlington  
Tel: 416.365.3529  
Fax: 416.369.5210  
Email: [bdarlington@davis.ca](mailto:bdarlington@davis.ca)

Brandon Barnes  
Tel: 416.365.3429  
Fax: 416.369.5241  
Email: [bbarnes@davis.ca](mailto:bbarnes@davis.ca)

Lawyers for Kai Kat Poon

AND **KIM ORR BARRISTERS P.C.**  
TO: 19 Mercer St., 4th Floor  
Toronto, ON M5V 1H2

Won J. Kim  
Tel: 416.349.6570  
Fax: 416.598.0601  
Email: [wjk@kimorr.ca](mailto:wjk@kimorr.ca)

James C. Orr  
Tel: 416.349.6571  
Fax: 416.598.0601  
Email: [jo@kimorr.ca](mailto:jo@kimorr.ca)

Michael C. Spenser  
Tel: 416.349.6599  
Fax: 416.598.0601  
Email: [mcs@kimorr.ca](mailto:mcs@kimorr.ca)

Megan B. McPhee  
Tel: 416.349.6574  
Fax: 416.598.0601  
Email: [mbm@kimorr.ca](mailto:mbm@kimorr.ca)

Yonatan Rozenszajn  
Tel: 416.349.6578  
Fax: 416.598.0601  
Email: [YR@kimorr.ca](mailto:YR@kimorr.ca)

Tanya Jemec  
Tel: 416.349.6573  
Fax: 416.598.0601  
Email: [TTJ@kimorr.ca](mailto:TTJ@kimorr.ca)

Lawyers for Invesco Canada Ltd., Northwest &  
Ethical Investments L.P. and Comité Syndical  
National De Retraite Batirente Inc.



**FACTUM OF THE RESPONDENT,  
FTI CONSULTING CANADA INC.**

**PART I - OVERVIEW**

1. This responding factum is submitted by FTI Consulting Canada Inc. in its capacity as monitor (the “**Monitor**”) appointed in connection with the *Companies’ Creditors Arrangement Act* (“**CCAA**”) proceedings involving Sino Forest Corporation (“**SFC**” or the “**Company**”), in response to the motion for leave to appeal the Sanction Order (defined below) by the appellants, Invesco Canada Ltd., Northwest & Ethical Investments L.P. and Comité Syndical National de Retraite Bâtirente Inc. (collectively, the “**Appellants**”).

2. The Monitor has participated in the Company’s CCAA proceedings in accordance with its mandate pursuant to the CCAA and various court orders including with respect to the development of the Plan (defined below).

3. On December 10, 2012, the Ontario Superior Court of Justice (the “**CCAA Court**”) granted an order (the “**Sanction Order**”) approving the Company’s plan of compromise and reorganization dated December 3, 2012 (as amended, the “**Plan**”). The Plan was approved in its entirety including Article 11 of the Plan. The Plan was implemented on January 30, 2013 and substantially all of the consideration under the Plan has now been distributed.

4. The Appellants seek leave to appeal on a limited basis. They say that they are only challenging the Sanction Order to the extent that it approves the Plan provisions which address the settlement involving Ernst & Young LLP and its

affiliates (the “**E&Y Settlement**” and “**E&Y**”) and the plaintiffs in certain class actions.

5. It is the Monitor’s view that the Sanction Order is the CCAA Court’s approval of an integrated Plan whose provisions are not severable and that the Appellants cannot appeal (or seek leave) with respect to one aspect of the Sanction Order’s effects.

6. It is the Monitor’s further view that Morawetz, J. did not err in granting the Sanction Order and that the Appellants’ motion for leave to appeal the Sanction Order should be dismissed.

## **PART II – THE FACTS**

7. The Monitor adopts the facts summarized in the endorsement of Morawetz, J. released on December 10, 2012 (the “**Sanction Order Reasons**”). To the extent of any conflict between the facts as summarized in the Sanction Order Reasons and the facts stated on behalf of the Appellants, the Monitor disagrees with the facts set out in the Appellants’ factum.

## **PART III – MONITOR’S POSITION RE: APPELLANTS’ ISSUES**

8. The Monitor submits that Morawetz, J. appropriately granted the Sanction Order for the reasons set out in the Sanction Order Reasons and that the Appellants’ motion for leave to appeal should not be granted for the reasons set out in the following paragraphs.

9. From the outset of the CCAA proceedings, it was recognized by the Company and the CCAA Court that it was necessary to address the contingent litigation claims against the Company and the resulting indemnification claims by the other defendants in the context of the CCAA process itself. In that regard, numerous steps were taken by the Company and others including:

- (a) Obtaining a claims bar order dated June 20, 2012 (the “**Claims Procedure Order**”) which provided for, among other things:
  - (i) A call for all claims against the Company and its officers and directors, including equity claims;
  - (ii) A claims bar date of June 20, 2012 (the “**Claims Bar Date**”);  
and
  - (iii) That the plaintiffs (the “**Ontario Plaintiffs**”) in the class action against the Company (and others) in Ontario bearing court file number CV-11-431153-00CP (the “**Ontario Class Action**”) and the plaintiffs (the “**Quebec Plaintiffs**” and together with the Ontario Plaintiffs, the “**Plaintiffs**”) in the class action against the Company (and others) in Quebec bearing court file number 200-06-000132-111 (the “**Quebec Class Action**” and together with the Ontario Class Action, the “**Canadian Class Actions**”) were authorized to file representative claims in the claims process in respect of the

substance of the Ontario Class Action and the Quebec Class Action respectively;

- (b) Obtaining a mediation order dated July 25, 2012 (the “**Mediation Order**”) which provided for a mediation (the “**Mediation**”) to be conducted on September 4, 5 and, if necessary, 10, 2012. Notably:
  - (i) The “mediation parties” included the Company, its insurers, the Monitor, the ad hoc committee of Noteholders, the Plaintiffs, the other defendants in the Canadian Class Actions (other than Pöyry (Beijing) Consulting Co. Ltd.) (the “**Third Party Defendants**”);
  - (ii) The purpose of the Mediation was to mediate a full resolution of the claims of the Plaintiffs against not only the Company but also the Third Party Defendants (the “**Subject Claims**”); and
  - (iii) The Mediation Order directed the mediation parties to attend with representatives with full authority to settle the Subject Claims;
- (c) Seeking direction and obtaining a decision and order (the “**Equity Claims Decision**”) declaring that the claims of the Plaintiffs in respect of the purchase of securities and resulting indemnity claims of the Third Party Defendants constituted “equity claims” under section

2(1) of the CCAA. Certain of the Third Party Defendants subsequently appealed the Equity Claims Decision to this Court which appeal was dismissed on November 23, 2012.

10. In addition to the above, there was a tremendous amount of work done by the Company (and others) in the development and negotiation of the Plan and the Plan terms to ultimately reach a compromise that was either on consent or unopposed by all parties who had participated in the CCAA proceedings throughout the process. The only parties who opposed the Plan were the Appellants. Despite the very public nature of the CCAA proceedings, the Appellants waited until December 6, 2012, the day before the Sanction Hearing, to file a notice of appearance in the CCAA process.

11. The Plan was approved by over 98% (in both quantum and value) of voting creditors (who voted either in person or by proxy in accordance with the plan filing and meeting order dated August 31, 2012 which provided for amendments to the Plan to be made in accordance with the terms of such Order) and was sanctioned by the CCAA Court. The Plan reflected terms that were extensively negotiated by the Company (among others) in order to reach a compromise and reorganization acceptable to its creditors and other participants in the proceedings. It is clear that the Plan is a compromise in the true sense of the word and should be read as a whole. It is the Monitor's view that Morawetz, J. correctly held:

The Plan was presented to the Meeting with Article 11 in place. This was the Plan that was the subject to the vote and this is the Plan that is the subject of this motion. The

alternative proposed by the [Appellants] was not considered at the meeting and, in my view, it is not appropriate to consider such an alternative on this motion.

12. Neither the Plan nor the Sanction Order, themselves, give effect to a third party release in favour of E&Y or any other “Named Third Party Defendant” under the Plan. As noted by Morawetz, J. in his Sanction Order Reasons, “it is apparent that approval of the E&Y Settlement is not before the court on this motion and no release is being provided to E&Y as a result of this motion.” Instead, Morawetz, J. correctly noted that the E&Y release would only become effective if certain other conditions were met, including further court approval of the E&Y Settlement. In fact, since the granting of the Sanction Order Reasons, a motion was heard by Morawetz, J. on February 4, 2013 (the “**E&Y Settlement Approval Motion**”) specifically seeking approval of the E&Y Settlement. The Appellants participated in and opposed the E&Y Settlement Approval Motion. Morawetz, J.’s decision on the E&Y Settlement Approval Motion is pending as of the date of this factum. Given that the public, including the Appellants, have had a separate opportunity to be fully heard on the issues of the E&Y Settlement including issues relating to opt out and releases, it cannot be said that there are issues of law (or fact) of such significance on this proposed appeal that leave should be granted.

13. On January 30, 2013, the Plan was implemented and substantially all of the consideration under the Plan was distributed. It is the Monitor’s position that there is no basis on which to grant the Appellants’ motion for leave to appeal the Sanction Order particularly in this case where, given the separate E&Y Settlement Approval

Motion (in which the Appellants participated) it cannot be said there is any prejudice to the Appellants and, on the other hand, the Plan, as a whole, has been approved by creditors, sanctioned by the CCAA Court and fully implemented.

14. As set out above, the Plan is an integrated whole. Its parts are not severable. Neither are the approvals implemented by the Sanction Order. Without the provisions addressing the E&Y Settlement, both the Plan and the positions of major stakeholder parties at the Sanction Order hearing would have been different. The Appellants cannot now seek to undue a part of these arrangements and decisions.


#### **PART IV – ADDITIONAL ISSUES**


15. The Monitor has no additional issues to raise in relation to this motion for leave to appeal.


**PART V – ORDER REQUESTED**

16. It is the Monitor's view that the Appellants' motion for leave to appeal the Sanction Order should be dismissed.

ALL OF WHICH IS RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of February, 2013.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Derrick Tay

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Clifton Prophet

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jennifer Stam

Of Counsel to the Monitor



**SCHEDULE A**

N/A

**SCHEDULE B**

N/A

Court of Appeal File M42068 / Court File No.: CV-12-9667-00CL

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS' ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c.C-36, AS AMENDED  
AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF SINO-FOREST CORPORATION

*ONTARIO*

**SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE**  
(Commercial List)

(PROCEEDING COMMENCED AT TORONTO)

**FACTUM OF THE RESPONDENT, FTI CONSULTING  
CANADA INC., in its capacity as Monitor**

**GOWLING LAFLEUR HENDERSON LLP**

Barristers and Solicitors

1 First Canadian Place  
100 King Street West, Suite 1600  
Toronto ON M5X 1G5

**Derrick Tay (LSUC No. 21152A)**

Tel: (416) 369-7330 / Fax: (416) 862-7661

Email: [derrick.tay@gowlings.com](mailto:derrick.tay@gowlings.com)

**Clifton Prophet (LSUC No. 34845K)**

Tel: (416) 862-3509 / Fax: (416) 862-7661

Email: [clifton.prophet@gowlings.com](mailto:clifton.prophet@gowlings.com)

**Jennifer Stam (LSUC No. 46735J)**

Tel: (416) 862-5697 / Fax: (416) 862-7661

Email: [jennifer.stam@gowlings.com](mailto:jennifer.stam@gowlings.com)

Lawyers for FTI Consulting Canada Inc.,  
in its capacity as Monitor